IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

MELVIN LEE BELCHER, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v. Civil Action No. 3:10cv420

CHA COMPANIES, INC.,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter comes before the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) for a Report and Recommendation on the proposed Agreement and General Release. For the following reasons, the undersigned Magistrate Judge recommends that the District Court find the Agreement and General Release to be a fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute over Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")¹ provisions as required by *Lynn's Food Stores, Inc. v. United States*, 679 F.2d 1350 (11th Cir. 1982).

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has recognized that "there is a judicial prohibition against the unsupervised waiver or settlement of claims" under the FLSA. Taylor v. Progress Energy, Inc., 493 F.3d 454, 460 (4th Cir. 2007) (citing D. A. Schulte, Inc. v. Gangi, 328 U.S. 108, 114-16 (1946)). However, when a proposed settlement reflects a reasonable compromise over bona fide disputes, then court approval promotes the policy of encouraging settlement while protecting an employee's rights under FLSA. Lomascolo v. Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc., No. 1:08cv1310, 2009 WL 3094955, at *8 (E.D. Va. Sept. 28, 2009) (citing Lynn's Food Stores, 679 F.2d at 1354). To determine whether a proposed settlement is

¹ 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

fair and reasonable under the FLSA, courts should consider: "(1) the extent of discovery that has taken place; (2) the stage of the proceedings, including the complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation; (3) the absence of fraud or collusion in the settlement; (4) the experience of counsel who have represented the plaintiffs; . . . and ([5]) the probability of plaintiffs' success on the merits and the amount of the settlement in relation to the potential recovery." *Id.* at *10.

Having had oral hearing as to the settlement and having reviewed, *in camera*, the Agreement and General Release, this Court, for the reasons stated from the bench, finds it to be in compliance with the dictates under the FLSA and finds it to be a fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide FLSA dispute pursuant to *Lynn's Food Stores* and *Lomascolo*. On March 15, 2011, the parties appeared, by counsel, in court and confirmed their agreement to the terms reviewed *in camera* by the Court. The Court finds that, under the unique and specific circumstances of this individual case, limited confidentiality will not violate or contravene the public interest in compliance with the FLSA because the parties have placed on the record the amount of overtime compensation, statutory damages, and other monetary awards paid to Plaintiff. (Ex. A, Joint Mot. for Entry of Order Approving Settlement & Dismissing Case with Prejudice ¶ 3.) Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that the District Court approve the Agreement and General Release and DISMISS this action WITH PREJUDICE.

The parties are ADVISED that they may file specific written objections to the Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days of the date of entry hereof. Such objections should be numbered and identify with specificity the legal or factual deficiencies of the Magistrate Judge's findings. Failure to so file will result in a waiver of any objections to this report.

Let the Clerk send copies of this Report and Recommendation to counsel of record and the Honorable Robert E. Payne.

It is so ORDERED.

M. Hannah Lauk //
United States Magistrate Judge

Richmond, Virginia Date: 3-16-11